

Thm 1 Every ideal I in $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has a unique reduced Gröbner basis.

Sketch of proof

- Start with any G.B., turn it into a reduced G.B.
 - Divide each $g \in G$ by its leading coefficient
- want to remove all elements g in G whose initial monomial is not a minimal generator of $\text{in}_<(I)$.
- For any pair $g, g' \in G$ if $\text{in}_<(g) = \text{in}_<(g')$ discard one of g, g'
 - For $g \in G$ use division alg. to compute the remainder r of g divided by G and replace g by r

Computing G.B.

Thm (Division Algorithm). Work in $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let

$\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ be an ordered list of polynomials in R

Every $f \in R$ can be written as

$$f = q_1 f_1 + \dots + q_s f_s + r$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{remainder}}$

where $q_i, r \in R$ s.t.

$r = 0$ OR

r is a K -linear combo of monomials, none of which are divisible by any of

$\text{in}_<(f_1), \dots, \text{in}_<(f_s)$

Further if $q_i f_i \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{in}_>(f) \supseteq \text{in}_>(q_i f_i)$

Ideal membership

It is clear if $r=0$ above

$$\Rightarrow f = q_1 f_1 + \dots + q_s f_s \Rightarrow f \in I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$$

↳ however for an arbitrary gen. set this is only sufficient, but not necessary for $f \in I$. ($r=0$ after division)

Ex) Let $f_1 = xy-1$, $f_2 = y^2-1$ in $k_{\text{ex}}[x,y]$

Divide $f = xy^2 - x$ by $\{f_1, f_2\}$ gives

$$xy^2 - x = \underbrace{y}_{q_1} \cdot (xy-1) + \underbrace{0}_{q_2} \cdot (y^2-1) + \underbrace{(-x+1)}_r$$

Divide f by $\{f_2, f_1\}$ gives

$$xy^2 - x = x(y^2-1) + 0 \cdot (xy-1) + 0$$

we will see that if G is Gr B., in any mon. order, the division algorithm answers if $f \in \langle G \rangle$.

Prop) Let $I \subseteq R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ be a Gröbner basis of I . Given $f \in R$ there exists

a unique $r \in R$ s.t.

1) No term of r is divisible by any of $\text{in}_\prec(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_\prec(g_s)$

2) There is $g \in I$ s.t. $f = g + r$

In particular r is the remainder after division by G no matter under the elements of G are listed in.

Proof: | By the division Alg an r satisfying 1), 2) exists.

Prove uniqueness:

Suppose $f = g+r = g'+r'$ satisfying 1), 2)

$$\Rightarrow r - r' = g' - g \in I$$

$$\text{If } r \neq r' \Rightarrow \text{in}_L(r-r') \in \text{in}_L(I) = \langle \text{in}_L(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_L(g_t) \rangle$$

Since G.B. \swarrow

$$\Rightarrow \text{in}_L(g_i) \mid \text{in}_L(r-r') \quad \text{for some } g_i$$

But, by div alg, no term of r, r' is divisible by any of $\text{in}_L(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_L(g_t)$

$$\therefore \text{in}_L(g_i) \nmid \text{in}_L(r-r')$$

\uparrow since \pm must be some monomial in r or r'

Cor | Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ be a G.B. for $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ an ideal, and $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. We have $f \in I$ iff the remainder on division of f by G is zero.

Def (LCM) Let $f, g \in K_c[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be non-zero and suppose that $\text{in}_L(f) = x^a, \text{in}_L(g) = x^b$

$$\text{then } x^\delta = \text{lcm}(\text{in}_L(f), \text{in}_L(g)), \quad \text{where } \delta = \max(a_i, b_i)$$

Def | (Lead term). $f \in K_c[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $f = \overbrace{\text{LC} \cdot \text{in}_L(f)}^{\in K} + \text{lower terms}$

$$\text{LT}(f) = \text{LC} \cdot \text{in}_L(f).$$

Def (S-poly) The S-polynomial of $f, g \neq 0$ in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

is

$$S(f, g) = \text{lcm}(\text{in}_L(f), \text{in}_L(g)) \cdot \left(\frac{f}{\text{LT}(f)} - \frac{g}{\text{LT}(g)} \right)$$

↑ This "designed" to cancel lead terms

Def Given a set $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ and a poly f (all in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$)

write $f \% G$ or $\text{rem}(f, G)$ for the remainder of dividing f by G .

↓
Lemma $f, g \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ non-zero. Then $\text{in}_L(S(f, g)) < \text{lcm}(\text{in}_L(f), \text{in}_L(g))$.

Lemma * Suppose we have $\sum_{i=1}^s p_i$ where $p_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\text{in}_L(p_i) = x^\delta \forall i$.
If $\text{in}_L(\sum p_i) < x^\delta$ then $\sum p_i$ is a K -linear combo of $S(p_i, p_j)$

Further $\text{in}_L(S(p_i, p_j)) < x^\delta \forall i, j$.

Lemma ** Let $c_a, c_b \in K$, $g_a, g_b \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ non-zero,
suppose $\text{in}_L(S(c_a x^a \cdot g_a, c_b x^b \cdot g_b)) = x^\delta$. Then we have

$$S(x^a g_a, x^b g_b) = x^{\delta - \delta} S(g_a, g_b)$$

where $x^\delta = \text{lcm}(\text{in}_L(g_a), \text{in}_L(g_b))$.

Thm (Buchberger criterion). Let $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an ideal.

Then $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$, where $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \rangle$, is a

Groebner basis for I iff for all pairs $i \neq j$

the remainder $S(g_i, g_j) \% G = 0$.

Proof

\Rightarrow If G is a G.R. then, since $S(g_i, g_j) \in I$
the remainder on div by \hat{a} is zero.

\Leftarrow Now suppose $S(g_i, g_j) \% G = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$

Let $f \in I$, non-zero, show that $\text{in}_L(f) \in \langle \text{in}_L(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_L(g_t) \rangle$

Write $f = \sum_{i=1}^t h_i g_i, \quad h_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

Note that

$$\text{in}_L(f) \leq \max(\text{in}_L(h_i g_i))$$

Among all expressions $f = \sum h_i g_i$ pick one

s.t. $x^\delta = \max(\text{in}_L(h_i g_i))$ is minimal

$$\therefore \text{in}_L(f) \leq x^\delta$$

If $\text{in}_L(f) = \text{in}_L(h_i g_i)$ for some i

$$\Rightarrow \text{in}_L(g_i) \mid \text{in}_L(f)$$

$$\therefore \text{in}_L(f) \in \langle \text{in}_L(g_1), \dots, \text{in}_L(g_t) \rangle.$$

Suppose $\text{in}_L(f) < x^\delta$

Goal = Use fact $S(g_i, g_j) \% G = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$ to contradict minimality of x^δ .

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{\text{in}_L(h_i g_i) = x^\delta} h_i g_i + \sum_{\text{in}_L(h_i g_i) < x^\delta} h_i g_i \\ &= \sum_{\text{in}_L(h_i g_i) = x^\delta} \underbrace{LT(h_i)}_{\omega} g_i + \sum_{\text{in}_L(h_i g_i) < x^\delta} (h_i - LT(h_i)) g_i + \sum_{\text{in}_L(h_i g_i) < x^\delta} h_i g_i \end{aligned}$$

Let $w = \sum_{\text{in}(h_i g_i) = x^\delta} \text{LT}(h_i) g_i$ $\text{in}_L(a) \subset x^\delta$

But $\text{in}_L(f) \subset x^\delta$ by assumption
 $\therefore \text{in}_L(w) \subset x^\delta$

By Lemma # w is a k -linear combination of S -polys
 $S(\text{LT}(h_i) g_i, \text{LT}(h_j) g_j) = x^{\delta - \delta_{ij}} S(g_i, g_j)$
↑ by Lemma #

where $x^{\delta_{ij}} = \text{lcm}(\text{in}_L(g_i), \text{in}_L(g_j))$

$\therefore w$ is a k -lin combo of $x^{\delta - \delta_{ij}} S(g_i, g_j)$,
 and by assumption $S(g_i, g_j) \% G = 0$

\therefore By division Alg

$$S(g_i, g_j) = \sum A_\ell g_\ell \quad ; A_\ell \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

and $\text{in}_L(A_\ell g_\ell) \subseteq \text{in}_L(S(g_i, g_j))$ when $A_\ell g_\ell \neq 0$

so $x^{\delta - \delta_{ij}} S(g_i, g_j) = \sum x^{\delta - \delta_{ij}} A_\ell g_\ell$

Then by $\text{in}_L(B_\ell g_\ell) \subseteq \text{in}_L(x^{\delta - \delta_{ij}} S(g_i, g_j)) \subset x^\delta$

since $\text{in}_L(S(g_i, g_j)) \subset \text{lcm}(\text{in}_L(g_i), \text{in}_L(g_j))$
↑ By Lemma #
" $x^{\delta_{ij}}$

w is a k -linear combo of $B_\ell g_\ell$
 and $\text{in}_L(B_\ell g_\ell) \subset x^\delta$

$\therefore f$ is a k -linear combo of terms $\in x^{\delta}$ which contradicts minimality of x^{δ} . \square

Thm | (Ascending Chain Condition) let

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots$$

be an ascending chain of ideals in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

Then \exists an $N \geq 1$ s.t

$$I_N = I_{N+1} = I_{N+2} = \dots$$

Proof | set $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$ (check that this is an ideal)

By the Hilbert basis thm $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$

but each $f_i \in I_{j_i}$ for some $j_i \forall i$

$$N = \max(j_1, \dots, j_s)$$

$\Rightarrow f_i \in I_N \forall i$ since we are an ascending chain

$$\therefore I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \subseteq I_N \subseteq \dots \subseteq I$$

$$\Rightarrow I_N \supseteq I_{N+1} = I. \quad \square$$

Thm 1 (Buchberger's Algorithm) Let $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle \neq \{0\}$ in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then a Gröbner basis for I can be computed in a finite number of steps by the following algorithm

Algorithm:

Input: $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$
 Output: a Gröbner basis $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ for I with $F \subseteq G$.

Procedure:

$G := F$

Repeat := true

While Repeat DO:

$G' := G$

For each pair $\{p, q\}$, $p \neq q$, in G' DO:

$r := S(p, q) \% G'$

IF $r \neq 0$ Then $G := G \cup \{r\}$

IF $G = G'$ Then (Repeat := false; Return G)

Proof | Show $G \subseteq I$ at every step

$G = F$ is okay

when we enlarge G we add $S(p, q) \% G$, $p, q \in I$

$\Rightarrow S(p, q) \in I$

$\Rightarrow S(p, q) \% G \in I$

$\therefore G \cup \{r\} \in I$.

and G contains $f_1, \dots, f_s \Rightarrow I \subseteq \langle G \rangle$ and $G \subseteq I$

$\therefore I = \langle G \rangle$

at all steps
The alg. steps when $G = G'$

$$\text{meaning } S(p, q) \% G' = 0 \quad \forall p, q$$

$\therefore G$ is a G.B. by Buchberger's Criterion.

_____ End of Lecture _____

Rest of proof:

We must show the Alg terminates, want to use the Ascending chain condition

At each step G consists of G' (=old G) together with non-zero remainders of S -polynomials of pairs in G' , i.e.
initial monomials of all polys in G'

$$\langle \text{in}_c(G') \rangle \subseteq \langle \text{in}_c(G) \rangle$$

Note that if $G' \neq G \Rightarrow \langle \text{in}_c(G') \rangle \subsetneq \langle \text{in}_c(G) \rangle$ ^{strict}

Since if $r = S(p, q) \% G' \neq 0$ ($p, q \in G'$)

$$\Rightarrow \text{in}_c(g') \neq \text{in}_c(r) \quad \forall g \in G'$$

$$\therefore \text{in}_c(r) \notin \langle \text{in}_c(G') \rangle \text{ but}$$

$$\text{in}_c(r) \in \langle \text{in}_c(G) \rangle$$

if we write $G', G'', \dots, G^{(n)}, \dots$ etc for the G'' appearing in the loop, we have an Ascending chain

$$\langle \text{in}_c(G') \rangle \subseteq \langle \text{in}_c(G'') \rangle \subseteq \dots$$

\therefore by ACC

$$\langle \text{in}_c(G^{(n)}) \rangle = \langle \text{in}_c(G^{(n+1)}) \rangle \quad \text{for some } n$$

$$\Rightarrow G^{(n)} = G^{(n+1)} = G \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{of course we must have} \\ \text{proper containment of the monomial} \\ \text{ideals} \end{array} \right)$$

\therefore The algorithm terminates \square