# Assignment 3 M2/Sage Questions

The goal of this assignment will be to implement a primary decomposition algorithm for zero dimensional polynomial ideals over a field of characteristic zero. This is based on an algorithm of Gianni, Trager, and Zacharias [GTZ]. A review of other algorithms for primary decomposition is given in [DGP].

#### **Definitions and Results**

Throughout we will let k be a field of characteristic zero and work in the polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ .

**Definition 1** (Maximal Ideal in General Position). Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal in  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . We say  $\mathfrak{m}$  is in general position with respect to the lexicographical order with  $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n$  if the reduced Gröbner basis of  $\mathfrak{m}$  is of the form:

$$\{x_1 - f_1(x_n), \dots, x_{n-1} - f_{n-1}(x_n), f_n(x_n)\}\$$

for some single variable polynomials  $f_i(x_n)$  in  $k[x_n]$ .

**Definition 2** (Change of Coordinates Induced by  $\underline{a} \in k^{n-1}$ ). For any  $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in k^{n-1}$  define an ring automorphism  $\varphi_a : k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \to k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  specified by

$$\varphi_{\underline{a}}(x_i) = x_i \text{ for } i < n \text{ and } \varphi_{\underline{a}}(x_n) = x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x_i.$$

Note that the inverse map is again the identity on  $x_i$  for i < n and  $\varphi_{\underline{a}}^{-1}(x_n) = x_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i x_i$ . We call  $\varphi_{\underline{a}}$  the change of coordinates induced by  $\underline{a} \in k^{n-1}$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\mathfrak{m} \subset k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  be a maximal ideal. Then there exists a Zariski open dense subset  $U \subset k^{n-1}$  such that for every  $\underline{a} \in U$  the maximal ideal  $\varphi_{\underline{a}}(\mathfrak{m})$  is in general position with respect to the lexicographical order with  $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n$ .

**Definition 4** (Zero Dimensional Ideal in General Position). Let  $I \subset k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a zero dimensional ideal with minimal primary decomposition  $I = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_r$  and associated primes  $\mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ . We say that I is in general position with respect to the lexicographical order with  $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n$  if we have that:

- The maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_r$  are in general position with respect to the lexicographical order with  $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n$ .
- The polynomials  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap k[x_n], \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_r \cap k[x_n]$  are pairwise coprime (i.e. have greatest common divisor one).

**Proposition 5.** Let  $I \subset k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a zero dimensional ideal. Then there exists a Zariski open dense subset  $U \subset k^{n-1}$  such that for every  $\underline{a} \in U$  the zero dimensional ideal  $\varphi_{\underline{a}}(I)$  is in general position with respect to the lexicographical order with  $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let  $I \subset k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a zero dimensional ideal in general position with respect to the lexicographical order with  $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n$ . Let G be the reduced Gröbner basis of I and let  $\{f\} = G \cap k[x_n]$  and let  $f = f_1^{c_1} \cdots f_r^{c_r}$  be the unique factorization of f into a product of powers of irreducible polynomials. Then the minimal primary decomposition of I is given by

$$I = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} \left( I + \langle f_i^{c_i} \rangle \right).$$

# Algorithm

As above we work in the ring  $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  over a field k of characteristic zero.

```
Algorithm 1: ZPD
 - Computes a minimal primary decomposition of a zero dimensional ideal -
    Input: A zero dimensional ideal I in the ring R.
    Output: A list of ideals \{\mathfrak{q}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{q}_r\} such that I=\mathfrak{q}_1\cap\cdots\cap\mathfrak{q}_r is a minimal primary
                   decomposition of I.
 1 Select a random \underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in k^{n-1};
 2 Set J = \varphi_a(I);
 3 Compute \langle g \rangle = J \cap k[x_n];
 4 Compute a factorization g = g_1^{c_1} \cdots g_r^{c_r};
 5 \text{ for } i \text{ } from 1 \text{ to } r \text{ do}
         Compute a Gröbner basis G of J + \langle g_i^{c_i} \rangle with respect to the lexicographical order with
           x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n;
         if g_i^{c_i} \notin G then
 7
          RETURN ZPD(I);
 8
         Set h_n = g_i;
 9
         Set \mathfrak{p}_i = \langle \varphi_{\underline{a}}^{-1}(h_n) \rangle;
10
         for j from n-1 to 1 do
11
              Find a polynomial v \in G such that v = (x_j - f_j(x_n))^m \mod \langle h_{j+1}, \dots, h_n \rangle for some
12
                irreducible polynomial f_j \in k[x_n] and some m \in \mathbb{N};
              if no such polynomial v exists then
13
               RETURN ZPD(I);
14
              Set h_j = x_j - f_j(x_n);
Set \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i + \langle \varphi_a^{-1}(h_j) \rangle;
15
16
17 RETURN \{\mathfrak{q}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{q}_r\}=\{\varphi_{\underline{a}}^{-1}(J+\langle g_1^{c_1}\rangle),\ldots,\varphi_{\underline{a}}^{-1}(J+\langle g_r^{c_r}\rangle)\};
```

## Questions

- 1. Implement Algorithm 1 in M2 or Sage. You may (and should) use the built in Gröbner basis and factorization commands. Test your implementation by comparing it to the built-in one (remember that primary decompositions are not unique). If you like, rather than the recursive calls in lines 8 and 14 you may simply return an error if these lines are reached and ask the user to run the code again.
- 2. Check on an example that the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  computed in Algorithm 1 (see line 16) give a prime decomposition  $\sqrt{I} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ .
- 3. Briefly explain using the results and definitions given why this algorithm will correctly compute a minimal primary decomposition. You may also use the fact asserted by item 2 above.
- 4. Would you expect the algorithm to still work if we omit the changes of coordinates  $\varphi_{\underline{a}}$  everywhere they occur above but instead apply  $\varphi_{\underline{a}}$  to the ideal I and  $\varphi_{\underline{a}}^{-1}$  to the resulting decomposition in lines 8 and 14? Can you think of a reason you may want to do this?
- 5. If we take  $k = \mathbb{Q}$ , remove the entire for loop from lines 5–16 of the algorithm, and make the choice of  $\underline{a}$  using a uniform distribution on  $\mathbb{Q}$  informally explain what probability of success you would expect.

6. On an actual computer we cannot sample from a uniform distribution over all of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Try some empirical tests using your implementation on an example. How often does the code actually reach the recursive calls in lines 8 and 14?

## References

- [DGP] W. Decker, G.M. Greuel, and G. Pfister. Primary decomposition: algorithms and comparisons. *Algorithmic algebra and number theory* (pp. 187-220). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. 1999.
- [GTZ] P. Gianni, B. Trager, G. Zacharias. Gröbner bases and primary decomposition of polynomial ideals. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 6(2-3), 149-167, 1988.